

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,077	10/26/2000	Hideyuki Kimura	107714	1563
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 09/07/2007 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1992	28	PATTERSON, MARC A		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	σ.
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/674,077		KIMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Marc A. Pat	terson	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will , cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2007.						
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-6,12-14,22,23 and 26-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,12-14,22,23 and 26-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers			,			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/674,077

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,193,711) in view of Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,954,223).

With regard to Claims 1 and 22, Hirata et al disclose a body which is molded and is a container (column 4, lines 53 - 55) and cylindrical (circular; column 5, lines 65 - 66) and therefore has a sidewall portion having an inner surface and an outer surface and an upper opening, and comprises a sheet shaped insert, which is a label which is forced between a core and a cavity of a mold, and therefore inserted between the core and cavity (column 5, lines 41 - 45) having an upper edge and lower edge (the label has a lower end, and therefore an upper end; column 5, lines 41 - 45); resin is injected into the space between the core and the cavity and is unified with the insert, allowing the insert to be bonded to the outer side of the wall of the body (column 5, lines 41 - 45), therefore bonded to the outer surface of the body; the body is therefore insertion molded; the container comprises a thickened area which is formed by the resin and which is less than the full height of the container (the thickened area is formed by cut surfaces in the core which are less than the full height of the container, therefore closer to the upper edge that

Art Unit: 1772

the lower edge as shown in Figure 12; the thickened area is shown in Figure 13; column 7, lines 15 – 20); which is positioned at the inner surface of the sidewall portion (the cut surface of the core is in the side wall of the core as shown in Figure 21); because the resin of the thickened area is injected, the thickened area is formed by an injection gate opening, and is therefore a mark of an injection gate opening and is formed by injection; because the thickened area is less than the full height of the container, the thickened area is a mark that is inwardly apart from the upper end of the insert in an axial direction and at a position corresponding to a position on the inner surface that is covered by the insert; however, the claimed aspect of the mark being formed by injection is directed to a process limitation, rather than a method limitation, and is therefore given little patentable weight; Hirata et al do not disclose wrinkles or injected material on the outer surface of the insert; Hirata et al therefore disclose a container without wrinkles or injected material on the outer surface of the insert. Hirata et al fail to disclose a container having a bottom opening.

Moore teaches a container that is provided with a bottom opening for the purpose of draining water from the container (column 4, lines 36 – 43). One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have recognized the advantage of providing for the bottom opening of Moore in Hirata et al, which comprises a container, depending on the desired drainage of the end product.

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided for a bottom opening in Hirata et al in order to obtain a container from which water may be drained as taught by Moore.

Art Unit: 1772

With regard to Claim 2, Hirata et al disclose a gap on the outer surface positioned between opposed ends of the insert and not covered by the insert (between sidewall sections of the insert as shown in Figure 3; column 4, lines 56-60).

With regard to Claim 24, the Hirata et al disclose a container comprising one bottom wall, instead of multiple bottom wall, as shown in Figure 1A, and therefore is formed without a bottom wall.

With regard to Claim 26, because resin is injected into the space between the core and the cavity and is unified with the insert, the insert is bonded to an entire surface of the outer surface of the sidewall portion, excluding a mouth portion of the cylindrical molded body.

With regard to Claims 28 and 30, the claimed aspect of the article being made by a method comprising fitting, attaching and holding the insert along the inner surface of the outer molding unit in the molding cavity is directed to a product – by – process limitation and is therefore given little patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 6, 12 14, 23, 25, 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,193,711) in view of Moore (U.S.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,077

Art Unit: 1772

Patent No. 5,954,223) and Suzuki et al (Japanese Patent No. 6246777) and Asasi Chemical (Japanese Patent No. 03286815).

Hirata et al and Moore disclose an insertion and injection molded article comprising an insert and injected resin as discussed above. The mold comprises a mold cavity, therefore an outer mold unit, and core, as discussed above, therefore a core shaped to be inserted and fitted into the outer mold unit, and a cavity between the outer mold unit and the core; the core also comprises an injection gate opening (groove; column 6, lines 65-67); the insert is placed between the core and cavity, as discussed above, and is therefore fitted attached and held along the inner surface, and resin is injected toward the molded body inner surface; the insert is also pushed onto the inner surface with the resin (the resin forces the side wall section against the cavity side; column 5, lines 49-52) and therefore shapes the resin. With regard to Claims 3, 23 and 25, Hirata et al fail to disclose a core which is a pull – out mold unit and a resin which is cured following injection.

Suzuki et al teach the use of a mold unit which is a pull – out mold unit (pulled out of the space; paragraph 0025, English translation) for injection molding, for the purpose of molding a container (paragraph 0004, English translation). One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have recognized the advantage of providing for the pull – out mold unit of Suzuki et al in Hirata et al, which comprises insertion and injection molding, depending on the desired adhesion to both layers of the end product.

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided for a pull – out mold unit, therefore a core which is a pull – out mold unit, in Hirata et al and Moore in order to obtain a container as taught by Suzuki et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/674.077

Art Unit: 1772

Asahi Chemical teaches that thermoplastic resins and thermosetting resins are used alternatively in the making of containers for the purpose of making a container having a good appearance (English Abstract). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the utility of providing for the thermosetting resin taught by Asahi Chemical, rather than a thermoplastic resin, in Suzuki et al, which is a container, depending on the desired surface appearance of the end product as taught by Asahi Chemical.

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided for a thermosetting resin in Suzuki et al in order to make a container having a good appearance as taught by Asahi Chemical, thus providing for a resin which continuously cures throughout the molding process of Suzuki et al including the step following injection.

With regard to Claim 4, as stated above, Hirata et al disclose a gap on the outer surface positioned between opposed ends of the insert and not covered by the insert; molten resin is therefore not injected toward the gap.

With regard to Claims 5, 12, 27 and 29, a knock out pin is provided in the core disclosed by Suzuki et al (ejection pin; paragraph 0011, English translation), and the Suzuki et al further disclose pulling out the pull – out mold unit of the outer mold unit after insertion molding (paragraph 0025, English translation) and cutting a connection between the cured resin inside an injection gate opening and a molded body by raising the knock - out pin (the ejection pin is raised, eliminating thermoplastics remaining between the core and runner, thus cutting the connection between molded body and the knock - out pin, and forming a mark left by the injection gate; paragraph 0011, English

Art Unit: 1772

translation) and removing the body by pushing the bottom portion of the body (the fabricated compound container is taken out from the core by moving upwards the stripper plate with which its bottom portion is in contact (paragraph 0022, English translation; Figure 9).

With regard to Claims 6 and 13 – 14, as discussed above, the insert disclosed by Hirata et al is fitted, attached and held in a cylindrical shape along the inner surface of the outer mold unit; the mold unit is a pull - out mold unit as discussed above, and a contact frictional force is therefore applied by placing the insert in a cylindrical shape into the outer mold unit while the core of the injection molding mold is pulled out from the outer mold unit.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments and amended claims regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 – 2, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30 as being unpatentable over Hirata et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,193,711) in view of Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,954,223) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 3 – 6, 12 – 14, 23, 25, 27 and 29 as being unpatentable over Hirata et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,193,711) in view of Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,954,223) and Suzuki et al (Japanese Patent No. 6246777) and Asasi Chemical (Japanese Patent No. 03286815), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, have been considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 10 of the remarks dated June 11, 2007, that the Hirata et al do not disclose an injection mark within the meaning of the specification, and that the claims must be interpreted in light of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,077

Art Unit: 1772

However, although the claims must be interpreted in light of the specification, claim limitations are not limited only to the meaning of the specification during examination.

Applicant also argues, on page 11, that Hirata et al disclose a mark of an injection gate that is at the bottom of a molded body.

However, as stated in the previous Action, Hirata et al also disclose a mark of an injection gate that is located on the inner surface of the molded body.

Applicant also argues on page 11 that the thickened sidewall of Hirata et al does not result from the injection gate opening.

However, as stated in the previous Action, as the molded body comprises resin injected through the injection gate opening, the thickened wall portion does result from the injection gate opening.

Applicant also argues on page 11 that the thickened wall portion disclosed by Hirata et al is not located on the inner surface of the molded body.

However, it is unclear why the thickened wall portion, like the rest of the wall of the molded body, is not located on the inner surface.

Applicant also argues on page 11 that Hirata et al do not disclose injection toward the molded inner surface of the sidewall portion.

However, as stated in the previous Action, because Hirata et al disclose injection toward the insert, Hirata et al also disclose injection toward the molded inner surface of the sidewall portion.

The Primary Examiner would gladly review any concerns that Applicant has in an interview with the SPE, as in the April 20, 2006 interview.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,077 Page 9

Art Unit: 1772

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc A. Patterson, PhD. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772